

## Final

| Question                  | Parts | Points |
|---------------------------|-------|--------|
| 1: Instructions           | 1     | 0      |
| 2: True or False          | 6     | 12     |
| 3: Encryption scheme      | 1     | 10     |
| 4: Authentication schemes | 2     | 20     |
| 5: Isolation              | 2     | 20     |
| 6: Symbolic execution     | 1     | 15     |
| 7: Google Chrome security | 2     | 10     |
| 8: Law and policy         | 2     | 10     |
| 9: Lab 4: WASI escape     | 1     | 15     |
| 10: Lab 5: timing attack  | 2     | 17     |
| 11: iPhone security       | 5     | 15     |
| 12: Splitting trust       | 3     | 30     |
| 13: Course survey         | 2     | 6      |
| Total:                    |       | 180    |

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**This exam is printed double-sided!**

**Problem 1.** [0 points] **Instructions** (1 part)

- This is an open book exam: you can use your notes from this class, or any material released by us this term. You cannot use the internet. Use of any material not released by us this term is *strictly* forbidden.
- Any form of collaboration is *strictly* forbidden.
- If you need assistance clarifying a question in the exam, raise your hand and a proctor will come by.
- Point totals correspond roughly to how much time we expect you to spend on each problem (part).

**Problem 2.** [12 points] **True or False** (6 parts)

Please write **T** or **F** for the following. *No justification is needed (nor will be considered).*

- (a) [2 points] We know how to construct a public-key encryption scheme assuming only one-way functions.
- (b) [2 points]  $F(k, x) = k \oplus x$  is a secure PRF.
- (c) [2 points] If  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are collision-resistant hash functions then  $H(x) = H_1(H_2(x))$  is also collision-resistant.
- (d) [2 points] A CPA-secure encryption scheme must be randomized.
- (e) [2 points] Given a secure signature scheme for  $n$ -bit messages, one can construct a secure signature scheme for messages of length  $2n$  bits, by partitioning the  $2n$ -bit message into two equal chunks (each of length  $n$ ), and signing each chunk using the underlying secure signature scheme.
- (f) [2 points] If a mechanism  $A$  provides  $\epsilon$ -differential privacy, then the mechanism  $A$  also provides  $2\epsilon$ -differential privacy.

**Problem 3.** [10 points] **Encryption scheme** (1 part)

Assume that one-way functions exist. Does there exist a CPA-secure encryption scheme that takes as input a 128-bit message and a random string, and outputs a 128-bit ciphertext? Explain your answer.

**Problem 4.** [20 points] **Authentication schemes** (2 parts)

Suppose we are given a PRF that outputs a single bit, with a key space  $K$  and a message space  $M$ ; namely,  $F : K \times M \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ .

- (a) [10 points] Is the following a secure MAC, for the same key space  $K$  and message space  $M$ : for a key  $k \in K$  and message  $m \in M$ ,  $\text{MAC}(k, m) := F(k, m)$ ?

- (b) [10 points] Suppose that the message space  $M$  above is  $M = \{0, 1\}^{135}$ . Show how to use the PRF  $F$  to construct a secure MAC scheme with message space  $\{0, 1\}^{128}$ .

**Problem 5.** [20 points] **Isolation** (2 parts)

Ben Bitdiddle wants to run two applications strongly isolated from one another, so he runs them on two separate computers. However, he has just one storage server. His storage server implements a simple network API, whose pseudo-code is shown below (here `src` indicates which of the two separate computers are making the request; assume the adversary cannot tamper with the `src` argument):

```
class Storage:
    def __init__(self):
        self.files = {}

    def write(self, src, filename, contents):
        self.files[filename] = (src, contents)

    def read(self, src, filename):
        if filename not in self.files:
            return ErrorNotFound()
        (owner, contents) = self.files[filename]
        if owner == src:
            return contents
        else:
            return ErrorNotAllowed()
```

(a) [10 points] Does Ben's design provide integrity for the isolated applications, as defined in the isolation lecture? Explain why or why not.

(b) [10 points] Does Ben's design provide non-leakage for the isolated applications, as defined in the isolation lecture? Explain why or why not.

**Problem 6.** [15 points] **Symbolic execution** (1 part)

Ben Bitdiddle is running a symbolic execution tool on some function  $f(x, y)$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  are arbitrary symbolic 32-bit values passed as arguments to  $f$ . The symbolic execution tool issues the following queries to the SAT solver:

- $(x > 0)$
- $(x > 0) \wedge (x + y > 0)$
- $(x > 0) \wedge (x + y > 0) \wedge (x == 0)$
- $(x > 0) \wedge (x + y > 0) \wedge \neg(x == 0)$
- $(x > 0) \wedge \neg(x + y > 0)$
- $\neg(x > 0)$
- $\neg(x > 0) \wedge (x + y < 0)$
- $\neg(x > 0) \wedge \neg(x + y < 0)$

Write down a sketch of Ben's function  $f$  that would generate these queries under symbolic execution:

```
void f(unsigned int x, unsigned int y) {
```

```
}
```



**Problem 7.** [10 points] **Google Chrome security** (2 parts)

(a) [5 points] What is the approximate black-market cost for a zero-day vulnerability in Google Chrome that allows a remote adversary to execute arbitrary code and escape from Chrome's sandbox? Circle the best answer.

- \$10K
- \$100K
- \$1M
- \$10M

(b) [5 points] If the black-market price of a zero-day vulnerability in Google Chrome went down, would the Chrome security team view this as a success? Explain why or why not.



**Problem 9.** [15 points] **Lab 4: WASI escape** (1 part)

Is it possible to exploit the WASI file system sandbox bug that you used in lab 4 part 2 (i.e., access a file outside of the sandbox directory) without using either the `symlink()` or `openat()` system calls? Describe how, or explain why not.

**Problem 10.** [17 points] **Lab 5: timing attack** (2 parts)

- (a) [8 points] Ben Bitdiddle is developing his attack for lab 5, implementing the code for the function `steal_secret_token(1)`. Recall that the argument `1` is the number of random bytes that were used to generate the secret token that the attack code must guess. Ben's plan is to guess one of 256 possible values for each of the `1` bytes at a time, but he discovers that his attack is taking way too long. What should Ben be doing instead to speed up his attack? (We are looking for a big speedup—at least  $2\times$  faster.)
- (b) [9 points] Alyssa P. Hacker is also working on her lab 5 attack. She gets her solution mostly working, and is able to guess almost all of the token, but for some reason, her attack does not work for the very last byte of the token. What is Alyssa missing, and how should she fix her attack?



**Problem 12.** [30 points] **Splitting trust** (3 parts)

Apple has a secret key that it uses to sign its iOS operating system updates. Apple engineers want to split this key into  $n$  pieces and to give one piece to each of  $n$  engineers in a way that ensures that:

- no strict subset of engineers can produce a valid signature but
- all  $n$  engineers together can sign a release.

(a) [10 points] Say that there are  $n = 4$  engineers and that the signing key  $k$  is 256 bits long. One engineer proposes splitting the key into four 64-bit chunks and giving one chunk to each of the engineers. Is this scheme secure? Why or why not?

(b) [10 points] Explain how the engineers can split the key into  $n$  pieces (for any  $n$ ) such that

1. it is possible to recover the key given all  $n$  pieces but
2. no one piece leaks any information about the key.

(c) [10 points] Explain how the engineers can split the key into  $n$  pieces (for any  $n > 3$ ) such that

1. it is possible to recover the key given ANY THREE pieces but
2. no one piece leaks any information about the key.

**Problem 13.** [6 points] **Course survey** (2 parts)

We would like your feedback on how to improve this class when we teach it next year. **Any answer, except a blank answer, will receive full credit.**

- (a) [3 points] What aspects of the guest lectures did you find valuable to you? Are there other things you wished the guest lectures covered?

- (b) [3 points] Which lab assignment did you find to be the least valuable? What else would you have wanted to see in lab assignments instead?